

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| • | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/796,114 | 03/10/2004 | Chiaki Matsutori | 2493 | |
| 7. | 590 10/13/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| George A. Loud, Esquire | | GEHMAN, BRYON P | | |
| BACON & TH | OMAS | | | |
| 625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Alexandria VA 22214 1176 | | | 3220 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | S | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 10/796,114 | MATSUTORI, CHIAKI | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Bryon P. Gehman | 3728 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND | FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 August 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under b | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11 | I, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| o) Cialin(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 I I S.C. & 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f) | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | i phonty under 35 0.5.6. § 11 | 3(a)-(d) 01 (1). | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received in Appl | ication No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | - | ceived in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Burea | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not rec | eived. | | | |
| | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26, 4/06, 19 /04.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Attachment(s)

Art Unit: 3728

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the first sentence is an impliable phrase. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 4, "which permits pass" is ungrammatical and indefinite as to its meaning.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3728

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by JP 2003-174080. The Japanese patent clearly discloses the same structure as claimed.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bonora et al. (5,740,545). Disclosed is a thin plate storage container comprising a container main body (60), a cover member (32 or 28) which closes the main body and keeps the main body interior clean, and a double seal mechanism comprising an inner seal piece (64 or 94) attached to the peripheral edge of the cover member and an outer seal piece (102 or 104) on the peripheral edge of the cover member.

As to claim 2, the inner seal piece (64 or 94) is formed into an annular plate (bottom as shown of 32 or 28), a seal pressing section (edges adjacent elements 93 or 98 or opposed thereto) abutting the inner seal piece, and a seal reception section (93 or 98) abuts the inner seal piece.

As to claim 3, the inner seal piece is shown to be rounded in section which bulges from its disposition, while the seal reception sections are fitting grooves.

Art Unit: 3728

8. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(d) as being barred by applicant's Japanese patent 2003-174080. The Japanese application, should it be shown to be of common inventorship, was filed more than twelve months prior to the present application.

Page 4

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonora et al. in view of Ejima et al. (5,873,468). Ejima et al. disclose a thin plate storage container including a small hole (26) provided in the main body, a filter (31) and a cover (27) for the filter. To modify the thin plate storage container of Bonora et al. employing the filter teaching of Ejima et al. would have been obvious in order to maintain a clean container while maintaining constant pressure between the inside and the outside, as suggested by Ejima et al.
- 11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonora et al. in view of Fujimori et al. (6,382,419). Fujimori et al. disclose a thin plate storage container including at an uppermost position of a thin plate support section comprising

Art Unit: 3728

comb's teeth (at 2) that support a plurality of thin plates contained in the container, including a topmost tooth that can be characterized as a "comb's tooth for supporting another thin plate" from the remainder. To modify the container of Bonora et al. employing comb's teeth as disclosed by Fujimori et al. would have been obvious in order to support the thin plates in the stacking manner suggested by Fujimori et al.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 5:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 3728

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bryn P. Gul

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

BPG